

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Magnetic properties of  $\mathsf{PrPd}_2\mathsf{Si}_2$  and  $\mathsf{PrPt}_2\mathsf{Si}_2$ 

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2007 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 486207 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/19/48/486207) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 29/05/2010 at 06:55

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 486207 (6pp)

# Magnetic properties of PrPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> and PrPt<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>

# V K Anand<sup>1</sup>, Z Hossain<sup>1</sup> and C Geibel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India
<sup>2</sup> Max-Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, 01187 Dresden, Germany

E-mail: zakir@iitk.ac.in

Received 27 June 2007, in final form 19 September 2007 Published 9 November 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/19/486207

# Abstract

We have investigated the two rare-earth intermetallic compounds  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and  $PrPt_2Si_2$  by means of magnetization, electrical resistivity, and heat capacity measurements. While  $PrPd_2Si_2$  exhibits an antiferromagnetic ordering at 3 K, no magnetic ordering is observed in  $PrPt_2Si_2$  down to 2 K. The different magnetic behaviors of these two compounds are due to different crystalline electric field (CEF) level schemes. The specific heat data suggest a quasi-quartet ground state in  $PrPd_2Si_2$  in contrast to a nonmagnetic singlet ground state in  $PrPt_2Si_2$ . This difference is attributed to the loss of a mirror plane upon changing the crystal structure from  $ThCr_2Si_2$ -type ( $PrPd_2Si_2$ ) to  $CaBe_2Ge_2$ -type ( $PrPt_2Si_2$ ). Further on, a large magnetoresistance is also observed in the magnetically ordered state of  $PrPd_2Si_2$ .

# 1. Introduction

Pr-based intermetallic compounds have evolved as a topic of current interest among condensed matter physicists as some of these compounds exhibit interesting physical properties. While in Ce-compounds the relative strengths of the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) and Kondo interactions decide the ground state properties, in the case of Pr-compounds the ground state depends critically on the crystal electric field (CEF) level scheme. For example, in PrOs<sub>4</sub>Sb<sub>12</sub> a small CEF splitting energy of 0.7 meV and quadrupolar excitations lead to unconventional heavy-fermion superconductivity [1-3]. Pr<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>3</sub>Ge<sub>5</sub> exhibits heavy fermion behavior in which low lying crystal field excitations are responsible for the mass enhancement instead of the usual Kondo effect [4]. We have also investigated PrRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> in view of the unusual superconducting and magnetic properties of CeRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> and YbRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>. We found an antiferromagnetic ordering at 68 K in PrRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> which is anomalously high compared to the expected de-Gennes-scaled transition temperature of 5.4 K [5]. Further, we decided to investigate PrPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> and PrPt<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> in view of the interesting features of CePd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> and CePt<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>. While CePd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> is a heavy-fermion antiferromagnet system which exhibits pressure induced superconductivity [6], CePt<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> is a Kondo lattice non-Fermi liquid system that does not order down to 60 mK [7]. A preliminary magnetization study on PrPt<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> reports it to be

**Table 1.** Lattice parameters and unit cell volumes of tetragonal compounds  $RT_2Si_2$  (R = La, Pr and T = Pd, Pt).

Compounds	a (Å)	c (Å)	$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	Space group
PrPd <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	4.2232(9)	9.874(3)	176.12(5)	I4/mmm
LaPd <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	4.2835(1)	9.862(6)	180.94(1)	I4/mmm
PrPt2Si2	4.2426(8)	9.781(3)	176.06(4)	P4/nmm
$LaPt_2Si_2$	4.2824(1)	9.827(6)	180.21(0)	P4/nmm

paramagnetic down to 1.8 K [8]. We report here our results of magnetization, electrical resistivity, and heat capacity studies of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and  $PrPt_2Si_2$ .

# 2. Experimental details

Polycrystalline samples of PrPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> and PrPt<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> and their La-analogs were prepared by standard arc-melting on a water cooled copper hearth under an inert argon atmosphere starting with high purity (99.99% and above) elements in stoichiometric ratio. To ensure a proper mixing of the constituents, arc melted ingots were flipped and remelted several times. Weight loss during the melting process was less than 0.5%. The samples were annealed at 1000 °C for one week to improve the sample quality. Thereafter, the samples were characterized using powder x-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) equipped with energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDAX). Magnetization measurements were performed using a commercial SQUID magnetometer. The heat capacity was measured using the relaxation method in a physical property measurement system (PPMS-Quantum Design). The electrical resistivity was measured by the four-probe ac technique using the ac transport option of PPMS.

### 3. Results and discussion

While the compounds  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and  $LaPd_2Si_2$  crystallize in a  $ThCr_2Si_2$ -type tetragonal structure (space-group *I4/mmm*),  $PrPt_2Si_2$  and  $LaPt_2Si_2$  form in a  $CaBe_2Ge_2$ -type primitive tetragonal structure (space-group *P4/mmm*). The lattice parameters and unit cell volumes for these compounds are listed in table 1. The lattice parameters obtained for  $PrPt_2Si_2$ ,  $LaPt_2Si_2$ , and  $LaPd_2Si_2$  are close to the values reported in [8] and [9]. Though all the peaks in the x-ray diffraction pattern of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and  $PrPt_2Si_2$  are well indexed, the scanning electron micrographs show the presence of impurity phase(s) which we estimated to be less than 3% in  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and about 6% in  $PrPt_2Si_2$ . The EDAX analysis confirms the desired stoichiometry of 1:2:2.

#### 3.1. $PrPd_2Si_2$

The magnetic susceptibility data of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  are shown in figure 1. Magnetic susceptibility follows the Curie–Weiss behavior above 50 K. Fitting the inverse susceptibility data to the expression  $1/\chi = (T - \theta_p)/C$ , we obtained an effective moment  $\mu_{eff} = 3.59 \ \mu_B$  (theoretically expected value for  $Pr^{3+}$  ions is 3.58  $\mu_B$ ) and a Weiss temperature  $\theta_p = -4.2$  K. The low temperature susceptibility data (shown in the inset of figure 1) show a peak at 3.5 K. The position of the peak shifts towards lower temperatures with increasing field, thereby confirming the antiferromagnetic nature of the transition at 3.5 K. The isothermal magnetization data at 2 K (figure 2) exhibits a very smooth metamagnetic-type transition at 4.8 T. The critical field for



Figure 1. Inverse magnetic susceptibility plot of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  in the temperature range 2–300 K. The inset shows the low temperature susceptibility data at three different fields.

**Figure 2.** The magnetic field dependence of magnetization of PrPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> at 2 and 10 K. The inset shows the derivative of magnetization data at 2 K.

the metamagnetic transition is determined from the dM/dB versus *B* plot (inset of figure 2). No saturation is observed up to B = 6.0 T.

Figure 3 shows the magnetic contribution to the specific heat of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  which we obtained after subtracting the lattice contribution, assuming it to be roughly equal to that of the nonmagnetic analog LaPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>. The specific heat data of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  exhibit a sharp  $\lambda$ -type peak at 3 K which confirms the intrinsic nature of magnetic order in this compound. The magnetic entropy reaches a value close to  $R \ln 4$  (= 11.52 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) at 7.5 K, suggesting a quasi-quartet ground state. We also observe a pronounced Schottky-type anomaly with a broad maximum centered around 20 K which we attribute to the crystal field effect. The position of the Schottky peak suggests that the excited states related to this peak lie about 50 K above the low lying CEF states. The peak height of the Schottky anomaly is consistent with equal degeneracy between the low lying states and the excited states, suggesting the presence of four CEF levels close to 50 K. In a tetragonal symmetry one expects the CEF levels of  $Pr^{3+}$  to split into five singlets and two doublets. Thus in  $PrPd_2Si_2$ , the temperature dependence of the entropy indicates a separation into four low lying levels (either two doublets, or one doublet and two singlets, or four singlets) separated by less than 10 K, four further levels around 50 K, and an upper singlet at much higher energy. Since in RPd\_2Si<sub>2</sub> the CEF schemes seem to be



Figure 3. The magnetic contribution to the specific heat of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  as a function of temperature in the temperature range 0.5–52 K. The solid line represents the fit for an equally degenerate two-level Schottky anomaly with a separation of 50 K. The inset shows the temperature dependence of magnetic entropy.

Figure 4. Temperature dependence of electrical resistivity of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  in the temperature range 2–300 K. The upper inset shows the low temperature resistivity data at different fields. The lower inset shows the magnetoresistance normalized to  $\rho(T)$  at B = 0.

determined by the higher order terms in the CEF Hamiltonian [10, 11], a simple preliminary guess of the CEF scheme of PrPd<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> cannot be given.

In the paramagnetic regime the electrical resistivity decreases almost linearly with decreasing temperature (figure 4) and merges into a constant value of  $5.5 \ \mu\Omega$  cm below 10 K. The resistivity drops rapidly below the ordering temperature due to a reduction of spin disorder scattering. The resistivity at 2 K, where  $\rho(T)$  is still decreasing with *T*, is  $3.4 \ \mu\Omega$  cm, leading to a lower bound of ~15 for the residual resistivity ratio. This evidences a good quality of our polycrystalline sample. The upper inset of figure 4 shows the effect of a magnetic field on the resistivity. The resistivity anomaly related to the magnetic order smoothes out for B > 2 T. The magnetoresistance  $\Delta\rho/\rho = [\rho(B) - \rho(0)]/\rho(0)$  is shown in the lower inset of figure 4. In the ordered state (at 2 K) the magnetoresistance initially increases with increasing field, peaks at 6 T and decreases for higher fields. Such a behavior of the magnetoresistance is expected for an antiferromagnetic state. For  $T \ll T_N$ , an increasing magnetic field is first weakening the AF-state, leading to an increase of spin scattering, but above the metamagnetic transition the formation of the field aligned state results in a decrease of spin scattering. In the external



Figure 5. Inverse magnetic susceptibility plot of  $PrPt_2Si_2$  at a field of 1.0 T. The inset shows magnetization as a function of field at two different temperatures of 2 and 20 K.

Figure 6. Temperature dependence of the magnetic contribution to the specific heat of  $PrPt_2Si_2$  in the temperature range (2–50 K). The inset shows the temperature dependence of magnetic entropy.

field reduces the spin scattering. Thus, magnetic susceptibility, magnetization, specific heat and magnetoresistance data provide conclusive evidence for an antiferromagnetic state in  $PrPd_2Si_2$  below 3.0 K.

# $3.2. PrPt_2Si_2$

Figure 5 shows the magnetic susceptibility data of  $PrPt_2Si_2$ . No anomaly is observed in the susceptibility data down to 2 K implying the absence of magnetic ordering in this compound. The paramagnetic susceptibility displays a Curie–Weiss character. From a fit of the inverse susceptibility data above 50 K to the expression  $1/\chi = (T - \theta_p)/C$  we found the effective moment to be  $\mu_{eff} = 3.46 \ \mu_B$  which is very close to the value of  $3.58 \ \mu_B$  expected for  $Pr^{3+}$  ions. The Curie–Weiss temperature  $\theta_p = +18.8$  K indicates dominant ferromagnetic exchange in this compound. The isothermal magnetization curve is linear with field at 2 and 20 K which is consistent with a paramagnetic state down to 2 K in this compound.

The magnetic contribution to the specific heat of  $PrPt_2Si_2$  (figure 6) was obtained by subtracting the specific heat data of  $LaPt_2Si_2$  from that of  $PrPt_2Si_2$ . No signature of magnetic or superconducting transition is observed in the specific heat data, which is consistent with the paramagnetic ground state inferred from the magnetic susceptibility data. From the low

temperature specific heat data we estimate a  $\gamma$  value of ~3 mJ mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-2</sup>. Such a low magnitude of specific heat at low *T* rules out the possibility of magnetic ordering even at lower temperature. A broad Schottky-type anomaly is observed in the magnetic part of the specific heat above 20 K. The upturn in the magnetic contribution ( $C_{mag}$ ) to the specific heat around 10 K could be reproduced with a first excited doublet separated from the singlet ground state by approximately 50 K. For the case of a singlet ground state and a doublet as first excited state one would expect a peak height of 6.2 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> in  $C_{mag}$ . However, the experimentally observed value is 9.2 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, clearly indicating that further higher lying states are also contributing. The large separation between the singlet ground state and the first excited state is responsible for the absence of magnetic order in PrPt<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>.

Usually the CEF schemes of homologous  $RT_2X_2$  compounds with different T- (or X-) elements of one row in the periodic table are quite similar, because neither the effective ionic charge at the ligands nor their distance to the R-atoms changes significantly. Thus, in the present case, the strong differences between the CEF schemes of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and  $PrPt_2Si_2$  are very likely related to the loss of a mirror plane at the Pr-site upon changing the crystal structure from  $ThCr_2Si_2$ - to  $CaBe_2Ge_2$ -type, although both structure types are closely related. This difference in the structures is probably also responsible for the change from dominant antiferromagnetic exchange in the Pd-based compound to dominant ferromagnetic exchange in the Pt-based compound.

#### 4. Summary and conclusions

We have investigated the magnetic properties of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and  $PrPt_2Si_2$ . From detailed measurements of magnetization, specific heat, electrical resistivity, and magnetoresistance we have established antiferromagnetic ordering in  $PrPd_2Si_2$  below 3 K. Below  $T_N$  this compound also exhibits field induced metamagnetic transition and large magnetoresistance (20%) at a magnetic field of 6 T. In contrast, no magnetic order is observed in  $PrPt_2Si_2$  down to 2 K. We attribute this paramagnetic ground state in  $PrPt_2Si_2$  to the CEF scheme with a singlet ground state and well separated first excited state located around 50 K. The pronounced differences between  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and  $PrPt_2Si_2$  are attributed to the loss of a mirror plane upon changing the crystal structure from  $ThCr_2Si_2$ - to  $CaBe_2Ge_2$ -type. Further investigations are needed to determine the exact magnetic structure of  $PrPd_2Si_2$  and the crystal field level schemes in these two compounds.

### References

- [1] Bauer E D, Frederick N A, Ho P-C, Zapf V S and Maple M B 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 100506(R)
- Izawa K, Nakajima Y, Goryo Y, Matsuda Y, Osaki S, Sugawara H, Sato H, Thalmeier P and Mak K 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90 117001
- [3] Goremychkin E A, Osborn R, Bauer E D, Maple M B, Frederick N A, Yuhasz W M, Woodward F M and Lynn J W 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 157003
- [4] Anand V K, Hossain Z and Geibel C 2007 Phys. Rev. B submitted
- [5] Anand V K, Hossain Z, Behr G and Geibel C 2007 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter at press
- [6] Grosche F M, Walker I R, Julian S R, Mathur N D, Freye D M, Steiner M J and Lonzarich G G 2001 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13 2845
- [7] Dalmas de Réotier P, Yaouanc A, Calemczuk R, Huxley A D, Marcenat C, Bonville P, Lejay P, Gubbens P C M and Mulders A M 1997 *Phys. Rev.* B 55 2737
- [8] Hiebl K and Rogl P 1985 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 50 39
- [9] Palstra T T M, Lu G, Menovsky A A, Nieuwenhuys G J, Kes P H and Mydosh J A 1986 Phys. Rev. B 34 4566
- [10] van Dijk N H, Fåk B, Charvolin T, Lejay P and Mignot J M 2000 Phys. Rev. B 61 8922
- [11] Tomala K, Sanchez J P, Malaman B, Venturini G, Blaise A, Kmiec R and Ressouche E 1994 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 131 345